



ANTELOPE VALLEY COLLEGE
PROGRAM REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING
November 7, 2016 Agenda
3:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m.
Library 201

To conform to the open meeting act, the public may attend open sessions

1. Opening comments from the Program Review Committee Co-Chairs
2. Open comments from the public
3. Approval of October 17 minutes
4. Reports
5. Action Items
6. Discussion items
 - Strategic Planning
 - Should Program Review be a sub-committee of the Strategic Planning Committee? - Carry over from 10/17 mtg.
 - Template revisions - Carry over from 10/17 mtg.
 - Report addendums
 - Tableau demonstration by Svetlana
7. Other
8. Adjournment



ANTELOPE VALLEY COLLEGE
PROGRAM REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING
November 7, 2016 Minutes
3:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m.
Library 201

To conform to the open meeting act, the public may attend open sessions

The meeting was called to order at 3:05pm.

Present: Stacey Adams, Reina Burgos, Bonnie Curry, Dr. Glenn Haller, Melanie Parker, Ann Steinberg, Dr. Les Uhazy, Dr. Meeta Goel, Carol Eastin and Dr. Svetlana Deplazes.

1. Opening comments from the Program Review Committee Co-Chairs
 - Carol welcomed Melanie Parker, the newest member of the Committee.
 - Meeta reported that the District Strategic Plan was developed further during the September planning retreat. The president is now working with the Admin Council to ensure that the college wide plan has the more general unit sub goals, while the details under those sub goals are captured on accompanying unit/area plans. These plans should be finalized by the end of the semester.
2. Open comments from the public - none
3. The minutes of October 17 were approved.
4. Reports – none
5. Action Items - none
6. Discussion items
 - Strategic Planning
 - Discussion continued from the last meeting about how the Program Review Committee (PRC) fits with district planning. The president’s proposal to link the PRC closer to the Strategic Planning Committee (SPC) was considered. There is concern about losing a standing committee of the Academic Senate if the PRC would become a sub-committee of the SPC. Some members believe the faculty should continue to be the leaders in shaping the program review processes. A tri-chair structure (classified, faculty, administration) was suggested to better represent the district wide scope of the PRC and enable us to find more common ground while reducing possible us/them feelings. Involvement

and investment in strategic planning would increase if more people were a part of the SPC. The increased reliance on institutional and other data in recent years has made program review more effective. Program review enables programs to address ever changing issues and guide district planning. Carol will pass along these thoughts to Dr. Irit Gat, the Academic Senate President. Discussion will continue.

- Template revisions - Additional refinements were made to the draft.
- Report addendums – Two programs have expressed the need to update their 2015-16 reports. The addendum form used in 2014 was revised to align with today's report format.
- Tableau demonstration by Svetlana – Tabled until the next meeting. Carol will secure a computer lab for that meeting/demo.

7. Other - none

8. The meeting was adjourned at 4:00pm.